



Identifying data deleted to prevent identity unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

DM

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: EAC 99 076 52061 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: 07 JAN 2002

IN RE: Petitioner
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

Public

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Weimann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks authorization to continue the employment of the beneficiary as an intracompany transferee pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L). The director determined that the petitioner had failed to demonstrate that the beneficiary had been functioning and would continue to function in a primarily managerial or executive capacity.

On appeal, petitioner indicated that it had not provided the information requested by the director because of a misunderstanding of the law. The petitioner submitted additional documentation and requested that the Administrative Appeals Unit reconsider the case.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. The petitioner has not properly addressed the reasons stated for denial and has provided evidence that was available at the time of filing the petition and at the time the director requested additional evidence. As the petitioner has not provided a basis for the appeal, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

In addition, where the petitioner was put on notice of the required evidence and given a reasonable opportunity to provide it for the record before the visa petition is adjudicated, evidence submitted on appeal will not be considered for any purpose, and the appeal will be adjudicated based on the record of proceedings before the director. Matter of Soriano, 19 I&N Dec. 764 (BIA 1988).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.