

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent identity and financial  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20536



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

D 17




APR 20 2004

FILE: EAC 02 206 53038 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner claims to be a subsidiary of Maharaja Handicrafts, located in Pakistan. The petitioner claims to be in the business of importing and selling handicrafts. The petitioner seeks to extend its authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States as its manager and chief executive. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary will be employed in a managerial or executive capacity, and consequently denied the petition.

On appeal, counsel indicated that it would submit a brief or evidence to the AAO within 30 days of August 29, 2002. To date, the AAO has received no additional evidence. The evidence is, therefore, considered complete. On appeal, counsel simply asserts that, "The INS ignored evidence establishing that the beneficiary is eligible for L-1 status."

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in part:

*Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

As the petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.