

**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20529

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

JUL 08 2004



FILE: SRC 02 181 50383 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner seeks to extend its authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States in a managerial or executive capacity, namely as its manager. The director determined that the petitioner failed to provide sufficient evidence to establish that (1) there was a qualifying relationship between the U.S. and foreign entity; (2) the U.S. entity had been doing business; (3) the foreign entity was currently doing business; and (4) the beneficiary had been and would be employed primarily in a qualifying managerial or executive capacity.

On appeal, counsel indicated that she would submit a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. The notice of appeal is dated January 31, 2003. To date, the AAO has not received any additional evidence. Therefore, the record is considered complete.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in part:

*Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

As counsel has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.