

PUBLIC COPY

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

[Handwritten signature]

[Redacted]

FILE: SRC 03 166 50384 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: JUN 18 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

[Handwritten signature]

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner claims to be in the solar energy manufacturing and distribution business. The petitioner claims to be a subsidiary of Aplicaciones Tecnicas de Energia Solar, located in Madrid, Spain. The petitioner seeks to extend its authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States in a managerial or executive capacity, namely as its vice president and managing director. The director determined that the petitioner had failed to provide sufficient evidence to establish that the beneficiary will be employed by the U.S. entity primarily in a managerial or executive capacity.

On appeal, counsel indicated that he would submit a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. The notice of appeal is dated August 26, 2003. To date, the AAO has not received a brief and/or any additional evidence. Therefore, the record is considered complete.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in part:

Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

As counsel has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.