




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**

D7



FILE: WAC 04 130 54293 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: JUL 07 2006

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:




PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office  
6

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, California Service Center, denied the petition for a nonimmigrant visa. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a California corporation that claims to be engaged in the importation and wholesale of new and refurbished automotive parts, supplies and accessories. It seeks to extend its authorization to temporarily employ the beneficiary as its president pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L). The director denied the petition concluding that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary would be employed in a primarily managerial or executive capacity under the extended petition.

The petitioner subsequently filed an appeal on November 12, 2004. The director declined to treat the appeal as a motion and forwarded the appeal to the AAO for review. On the Form I-290B Notice of Appeal, counsel for the petitioner indicated that he would send a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. Counsel did not state the reason for the appeal on the Form I-290B. As no additional evidence has been incorporated into the record, the AAO contacted counsel by facsimile on April 28, 2006 to request that counsel acknowledge whether the brief and/or evidence were timely submitted, and, if applicable, to afford counsel an opportunity to re-submit the documents. To date, no response has been received. Accordingly, the record is now complete.

To establish eligibility under section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Act, the petitioner must meet certain criteria. Specifically, within three years preceding the beneficiary's application for admission into the United States, a firm, corporation, or other legal entity, or an affiliate or subsidiary thereof, must have employed the beneficiary for one continuous year. Furthermore, the beneficiary must seek to enter the United States temporarily to continue rendering his or her services to the same employer or a subsidiary or affiliate thereof in a managerial, executive, or specialized knowledge capacity.

Regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) state, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Upon review, the AAO concurs with the director's decision and affirms the denial of the petition.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Inasmuch as the petitioner has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in support of the appeal, the petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.