



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**


D7



File: SRC 04 069 50342      Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER      Date: MAY 04 2006


IN RE:      Petitioner:   
Beneficiary: 

Petition:      Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration  
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:  


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director, Texas Service Center, denied the petition for a nonimmigrant visa. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner states that it is engaged in the computer software business. The petitioner filed this nonimmigrant petition seeking to extend its authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States as its president pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L). The director denied the petition, concluding that the petitioner has failed to establish that the beneficiary will be employed in a primarily managerial or executive capacity.

On appeal, counsel for the petitioner disagrees with the director's decision and asserts that the record and prior L-1A approvals indicate that the beneficiary has been and will be employed by the petitioner in a managerial capacity.

A review of the records of the Citizenship and Immigration Services indicates that this beneficiary is also the beneficiary of an approved immigrant petition and has adjusted status to that of a permanent resident as of January 11, 2005. While the petitioner has not withdrawn the appeal in this proceeding, it would appear that the beneficiary is presently a permanent resident and the issues in this proceeding are moot. Therefore, this appeal is dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed as moot.