




09

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE: EAC-01-002-52810

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date:

JUL 30 2001

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(P)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(P)(iii)

Public Copy

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

*Myra L. Rosenbly*  
for Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner in this matter is described as a theatrical management company. The beneficiary is described as a performing artist with specialty in Moldovian and Gypsy dance. The petitioner filed a Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker, seeking classification of the beneficiary under section 101(a)(15)(P)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the "Act") as an entertainer in a culturally unique field. The petitioner seeks classification of the beneficiary for an unstated period of time at the claimed wage of \$2,000 per month.

The director denied the petition finding that the petitioner failed to present an itinerary of events scheduled for the beneficiary and found that a mere "speculative" itinerary did not constitute a *bona fide* offer of employment.

On appeal, the petitioner stated, in pertinent part, that they cannot "engage such artist on a full-time basis" due to the uniqueness of her art form, but that they "take full responsibility for her professional employment here."

Section 101(a)(15)(P)(iii) of the Act, provides for classification of an alien having a foreign residence which the alien has no intention of abandoning who:

(I) performs as an artist or entertainer, individually or as part of a group, or is an integral part of the performance of such a group, and

(II) seeks to enter the United States temporarily and solely to perform, teach, or coach as a culturally unique artist or entertainer or with such a group under a commercial or noncommercial program that is culturally unique.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(p)(3) provides, in pertinent part, that:

Culturally unique means a style of artistic expression, methodology, or medium which is unique to a particular country, nation, society, class, ethnicity, religion, tribe, or other group of persons.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(p)(6)(i) further provides:

(A) A P-3 classification may be accorded to artists or entertainers, individually or as a group, coming to the United States for the purpose of developing, interpreting, representing, coaching, or teaching a unique or traditional ethnic, folk, cultural, musical, theatrical, or artistic performance or presentation.

(B) The artist or entertainer must be coming to the United States to participate in a cultural event or events which will further the understanding or development of his or her art form. The program may be of a commercial or noncommercial nature.

At issue in this matter is whether the petitioner has adequately established the terms under which the alien will be employed pursuant to the pertinent regulations.

P-3 classification provides for the temporary entry and employment of aliens who are culturally unique artists and entertainers only to participate in a cultural event or events which will further the understanding or development of his or her art form. See 8 C.F.R. 214.2(p)(6)(i)(B).

The petitioner in this matter has not established the time period for which it seeks to employ the beneficiary or established that she will be employed only in "cultural events" as defined in this type of proceeding. As noted by the director, a speculative itinerary for an indefinite time period is not a sufficient basis to establish eligibility for P-3 classification of an alien entertainer/artist. Accordingly, the petitioner has failed to overcome the grounds for denial of the visa petition.

The burden of proof in visa petition proceedings remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, the petitioner has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.