

DA

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
BCIS, AAO, 20 MASS, 3/F
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE: WAC 02 161 53845

Office: California Service Center

Date:

APR 15 2003

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(P)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. §.1101(a)(15)(P)(i)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner in this matter is described as an entertainment company. The beneficiary is an acrobatic artist. The petitioner filed a Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker, seeking to extend classification of the beneficiary under section 101(a)(15)(P)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(p)(i) as an acrobatic artist. The petitioner seeks classification of the beneficiary to perform for two more years.

The director denied the petition, in part, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary will perform as a starring or leading entertainer in productions or events which have a distinguished reputation or perform services in a lead or starring role for organizations and establishments that have a distinguished reputation. The director further denied the petition in part, because the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary was exempt from the one-year group membership and international recognition requirements as a circus entertainer. The director further found that the petitioner failed to provide sufficient evidence of the wage offered and an itinerary of definite employment.

On appeal, counsel for the petitioner indicated that additional documentation would be submitted within thirty days, on or before October 24, 2002. As of this date, no brief has been received. The record, therefore, must be considered complete as presently constituted.

8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Inasmuch as the petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

RECEIVED
OCT 27 2002

OCT 25 2002