



EA

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536

[Redacted]

Public Copy

FILE: [Redacted]

Office: San Antonio

Date:

MAR 17 2001

IN RE: Applicant:

[Redacted]

APPLICATION:

Application for Certificate of Citizenship under § 341(a) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1452(a)

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

Identification data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy.

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the District Director, San Antonio, Texas, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant was born on December 25, 1957, in Mexico. The applicant's father, [REDACTED], was born in the United States in June 1930. The applicant's mother, [REDACTED] was born in 1930 in Mexico and never claimed to be a United States citizen. The applicant's parents married each other on April 30, 1973. The applicant claims that he acquired United States citizenship at birth under § 301(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1401(g).

The district director determined the record failed to establish that the applicant's United States citizen parent had been physically present in the United States or one of its outlying possessions for 10 years, at least 5 of which were after age 14, as required under § 301(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1401(g), at the time of the applicant's birth.

On appeal, the applicant submitted a marriage certificate issued in 1973 reflecting that his parents married each other on April 30, 1951 instead of 1973 as indicated on the document issued in 1986.

The applicant also submitted an undated letter from his father discussing some of the places he worked in Michigan including Saginaw and in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The applicant indicated that many of the places that his father worked did not withhold social security taxes and they paid his father in cash. The applicant submitted the same documentation on appeal that was previously reviewed by the district director.

Montana v. Kennedy, 278 F.2d 68, affd. 366 U.S. 308 (1961), held that to determine whether a person acquired U.S. citizenship at birth abroad, resort must be had to the statute in effect at the time of birth. Section 301(g) of the Act was in effect at the time of the applicant's birth.

Section 301(g) of the Act in effect prior to November 14, 1986 provides, in pertinent part, that a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than 10 years, at least 5 of which were after attaining the age 14 years, shall be nationals and citizens of the United States at birth.

The district director noted that the applicant's sister, born five and one-half years after the applicant, was issued a certificate of citizenship based on the father's social security records and birth



certificate. The district director noted that the social security records regarding the father's earnings between 1946 and 1979, when the father testified that he resided in Mexico but he worked in the United States and went back and forth between Mexico and the United States, reflected earnings of less than \$1500 per year. The district director concluded that the applicant's father was physically present for no more that two years and seven months during that time and he was not physically present for a total of more than 8 years prior to the applicant's birth. The previously reviewed documentation submitted on appeal fails to overcome that conclusion.

8 C.F.R. 341.2(c) states that the burden of proof shall be on the claimant to establish the claimed citizenship by a preponderance of the evidence.

The applicant has not met this burden of establishing his father had been physically present in the United States a total of 10 years, 5 of which were after the age 14. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.