

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**
PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

E 2

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

OFFICE: SAN FRANCISCO, CA

DATE: AUG 07 2006

IN RE:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Certificate of Citizenship.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, San Francisco, California. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The district director concluded the applicant had failed to establish that she meets requirements for acquisition of, or derivative U.S. citizenship under former and amended Immigration and Naturalization Act provisions. The application was denied accordingly.

On appeal, counsel asserts that U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) erred in its assessment of the applicant's U.S. citizenship eligibility. Counsel makes no other assertions regarding the basis of the district director's denial of the applicant's citizenship application, and no new evidence was submitted on appeal. It is noted that counsel requested an additional sixty days to submit a brief and evidence to the AAO. However, no additional evidence was received by the AAO. On June 22, 2006, the AAO notified counsel, via fax, that no further brief or evidence had been received, and counsel was given five days to provide the AAO with a copy of the additional evidence and/or brief referred to on appeal. Counsel was advised that failure to respond to the AAO's notice could result in the summary dismissal of the applicant's appeal. Counsel did not respond to the AAO's faxed notification.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part:

Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in her appeal. The appeal will therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.