

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

F2

PUBLIC COPY

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

OFFICE: DALLAS, TX

DATE: MAY 30 2006

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Certificate of Citizenship pursuant to Section 301(a)(7) of the former Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1401(a)(7).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Dallas, Texas. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The district director concluded the applicant had failed to establish that her U.S. citizen mother was physically present in the United States for ten years prior to the applicant's birth, at least five years of which occurred after her mother turned fourteen, as required by section 301(a)(7) of the former Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1401(a)(7). The application was denied accordingly.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that she submitted all of the U.S. residence documents that she has for her mother, and she requests oral argument and an additional thirty days to provide a brief and/or additional evidence in her case. The applicant makes no other claims on appeal, and the AAO notes that it received no additional brief or evidence from the applicant.

The AAO notes that under 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(b), the applicant must explain in writing why oral argument is necessary. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) has sole authority to grant or deny a request for oral argument and will grant such argument only in cases that involve unique factors or issues of law that cannot be adequately addressed in writing. In the present matter, no cause for oral argument has been stated or shown. The request for oral argument will therefore be denied.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part:

Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant has failed to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in her appeal. The appeal will therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.