

**PUBLIC COPY**  
**identifying data deleted to**  
**prevent clearly unwarranted**  
**invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

*EL*

FILE: [REDACTED]

Office: PHOENIX, AZ

Date: NOV 30 2006

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Certificate of Citizenship pursuant to Section 320 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1431

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the District Director, Phoenix, Arizona and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant filed the Application for a Certificate of Citizenship (Form N-600) on July 31, 2003. The director determined that the applicant was over 18 years of age by the time he acquired lawful permanent resident status and was, therefore, ineligible for a certificate of citizenship under section 320 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended by the Child Citizenship Act of 2000. An individual representing the applicant submitted a timely filed Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office, on November 5, 2004.

The record contains no Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative, for the individual who submitted the Form I-290B. Neither has the AAO found the name of this individual or her organization, Almar Services, among the list of individuals and organizations accredited by the Board of Immigration Appeals. *See* 8 C.F.R. §§ 292.1 and 292.2. Accordingly, the applicant will be considered as self-represented.

The statement on the Form I-290B reads:

The reason I felt [s]trongly about [appealing] Angel's [c]itizenship process is because you [are] giving him this opportunity and second I consider him [to be a] [r]esident since 07/30/03, as reflected in his I-94 Temporary Residence card. He also never received his I-551 card for Permanent Residence.

Although the Form I-290B indicates that the applicant intends to submit a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days, more than two years later, no additional materials are found in the record. Accordingly, the record is complete.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The statement on the Form I-290B is insufficient as a basis for the appeal. The applicant fails to specify how the director's decision included an erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact when denying the petition and does not rebut the director's finding regarding the evidence submitted. As the applicant does not present additional evidence or argument on appeal sufficient to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 341.2(c) states that the burden of proof shall be on the applicant to establish the claimed citizenship by a preponderance of the evidence. The applicant has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.