

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



EZ

FILE:



Office: HARLINGEN, TX

Date: OCT 10 2008

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Certificate of Citizenship pursuant to Section 301(A)(7) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1401(a)(7)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Harlingen, Texas, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant filed the Application for a Certificate of Citizenship (Form N-600) on May 12, 2005. The director denied the Form N-600 on March 11, 2006 because the record did not establish the applicant's father as a U.S. citizen. The director indicated that the certificate of citizenship issued to the applicant's father had been cancelled as of July 30, 1979. The applicant submitted a timely filed Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal, on March 30, 2006.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The applicant's statement on the Form I-290B reads:

I am filing for this appeal due to the decision on my N-600 application. My father is trying to obtain all the evidence necessary for this case. I am pleading that this appeal be granted. [For] [a]ny further information[,] please contact me at my address in Rio Grande City, Texas 78582.

The applicant's statement is insufficient as a basis for the appeal. The applicant fails to specify how the director's decision included an erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact when denying the petition. The applicant does not address any of the director's findings or determinations regarding the evidence submitted. As the applicant does not present additional evidence or argument on appeal sufficient to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The applicant has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.