

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



E<sub>3</sub>

FILE:  Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

JAN 26 2011

IN RE: 

APPLICATION: Application for Replacement Naturalization Document under Section 338 of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1449

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:


SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion with the \$630 fee. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

  
Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Application for Replacement Naturalization Document (Form N-565) was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

The record reflects that the applicant filed an Application for Certificate of Citizenship (Form N-600) on October 13, 1977, but that no final decision was made and no certificate was issued. Accordingly, the applicant may not seek replacement of a document that was never issued. However, the applicant's file will be forwarded to the San Diego Field Office for adjudication of the pending Form N-600.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days after service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). The date of filing is not the date of mailing, but the date of actual receipt. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i).

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on May 1, 2009. The applicant's appeal was not properly filed until September 15, 2010, more than 16 months after the director issued the decision. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed. Because neither the Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO authority to extend the 33-day time limit for filing an appeal, the appeal must be rejected.

If an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2). The untimely appeal does not meet the requirements of a motion to reopen or to reconsider, and will be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected. The file is forwarded to the San Diego Field Office for adjudication of the pending Form N-600.