

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

E₃

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

Date:

JUN 24 2010

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Replacement Naturalization Document under Section 338 of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1449


ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,


Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed, and the AAO will return the matter to the director for consideration as a motion to reconsider.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days after service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). The date of filing is not the date of mailing, but the date of actual receipt. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i).

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on September 24, 2009. *See Decision of the Director*, dated Sep. 24, 2009. The applicant's appeal was not properly filed until October 28, 2009. *See Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal*. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed. Because neither the Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO authority to extend the 33-day time limit for filing an appeal, the appeal must be rejected.

If an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2). Here, the untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reconsider. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the Director of the Texas Service Center. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). Therefore, the director must consider the untimely appeal as a motion to reconsider, and render a new decision accordingly.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected. The matter is returned to the service center director for consideration as a motion to reconsider.