

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

E4



FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: FEB 21 2008

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Replacement Naturalization/Citizenship Document under Section 338 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1449.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native of Vietnam and a naturalized citizen of the United States. He seeks to have his Certificate of Naturalization corrected under section 338 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1449, to reflect a change in his date of birth from May 12, 1949 to May 12, 1942.

The Director reviewed the applicant's record and determined that a correction to his Certificate of Naturalization was not justified. *Decision of the Director*, dated August 10, 2006. The application was denied accordingly.

On appeal, the applicant did not state a reason for filing the appeal and the Director's findings were not addressed. The applicant did not submit a brief and/or evidence as stated in the appeal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part that:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the Director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.