

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Citizenship and Immigration Services

~~Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy~~

F1

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20536

[REDACTED]

File:

[REDACTED]

Office: SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

Date:

NOV 17 2003

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

[REDACTED]

Petition: Petition to Classify Orphan as an Immediate Relative Pursuant to Section 101(b)(1)(F) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(b)(1)(F)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The petitioner filed the Petition to Classify Orphan as an Immediate Relative (Form I-600) on August 26, 1992. The petitioner is a 56-year-old married citizen of the United States. The beneficiary is 27 years old at the present time and was born in India on July 12, 1976. The director of the Baltimore, Maryland district office approved the immigrant visa petition on March 25, 1996. On September 7, 1999, the district director notified the petitioner that CIS intended to revoke approval of the petition. The petitioner failed to respond to the notice of intent to revoke. The district director revoked approval of the petition on October 18, 1999 and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

On appeal, counsel for the petitioner indicated that he would submit a brief and/or additional evidence to the AAO within thirty days of the appeal. More than four years have lapsed and no brief or additional evidence has been received and the record will be considered complete as presently constituted.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Inasmuch as the petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.