



U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

61

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE: [Redacted] Office: Harlingen

Date:

IN RE: Obligor:
Bonded Alien:



AUG 102000

IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for the Delivery of an Alien under § 103 of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1103

IN BEHALF OF OBLIGOR:



Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the **decision** in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately **applied** or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information **provided** or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion **must** state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider **must** be filed within 30 days of the decision that the **motion** seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional **information** which you wish to have **considered**, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be **supported** by **affidavits** or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be **filed** within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be **excused** in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as **required** under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Terrance J. Reilly, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

[REDACTED]

DISCUSSION: The delivery bond in this matter was declared breached by the District Director, Harlingen, Texas, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The record indicates that on July 2, 1999 the obligor posted a \$7,500 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form 1-340) dated January 4, 2000 was sent to the obligor via certified mail, return receipt requested. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender into the custody of an officer of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (the Service) for removal at 10:00 a.m. on February 4, 1998 at Los Fresnos, TX 78566. The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On February 11, 2000, the district director informed the obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the district director erred in breaching the bond because: (1) he did not notify the obligor of all hearings in the alien's case, and (2) he sent the alien notice to appear for removal (Form I-166), contrary to Service regulations.

In a supplementary brief, counsel for the obligor states that there are at least three reasons why the Administrative Appeals Office should sustain this appeal:

1. Form 1-352 (Rev. 5/27/97)N is unenforceable because the Service failed to obtain the required OMB approval prior to using this form.

The PRA, only protects the public from failing to provide information to a government agency. Here, the obligor did file the information requested on Form 1-352, therefore, the obligor cannot avail himself of the affirmative defense provision codified in 44 U.S.C. § 3512. Only those persons who refuse to comply with a collection of information can raise the public protection provision as in Baco River Cellular, Inc. v. FCC, 133F.3d. 25, 28 (D.C. Cir. 1998). See also U.S. v. Spitzauer, where the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit stated that the public protection provision

is limited in scope and only protects individuals who fail to file information. (1999 US App Lexis 6535).

2. The express language of the contract is so critically flawed that it fails to create an obligation binding on the obligor.

The bond contract clearly requires that the obligor deliver the alien into the custody of the Service upon demand. Delivery bonds are violated if the obligor fails to cause the bonded alien to be produced or to produce himself/herself to an immigration officer or immigration judge upon each and every written request until removal proceedings are finally terminated, or until the alien is actually accepted by the immigration officer for detention or removal. Matter of Smith, 16 I&N Dec. 146 (Reg. Comm. 1977).

3. The Form I-340 surrender notice is null and void because, contrary to the Amwest Settlement and nationwide Service directive, the Service did not attach a questionnaire to the surrender demand.

The present record fails to contain evidence that a properly completed questionnaire with the alien's photograph attached was forwarded to the obligor with the notice to surrender.

Although the obligor failed to produce the alien as required by the surrender demand, counsel stated on appeal that all the conditions imposed by the terms of the bond were substantially performed by the obligor. The regulations provide that an obligor shall be released from liability where there has been "substantial performance" of all conditions imposed by the terms of the bond. 8 C.F.R. 103.6(c)(3). A bond is breached when there has been a substantial violation of the stipulated conditions of the bond. 8 C.F.R. 103.6(e).

8 C.F.R. 103.5a(a)(2) provides that personal service may be effected by any of the following:

- (i) Delivery of a copy personally;
- (ii) Delivery of a copy at a person's dwelling house or usual place of abode by leaving it with some person of suitable age and discretion;
- (iii) Delivery of a copy at the office of an attorney or other person including a corporation, by leaving it with a person in charge;
- (iv) Mailing a copy by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, addressed to a person at his last known address.

(Emphasis supplied.) The bond (Form I-352) provides in pertinent part that the obligor "agrees that any notice to him/her in connection with this bond may be accomplished by mail directed to him/her at the above address." In this case, the Form I-352-listed [redacted] as the obligor's address.

Contained in the record is a certified mail receipt which indicates that the Notice to Deliver Alien was sent to the obligor at [REDACTED] on January 4, 2000. This notice demanded the bonded alien for removal on February 4, 2000. The receipt also indicates the obligor received notice to produce the bonded alien on January 6, 2000. Consequently, the record clearly establishes that the notice was properly served on the obligor in compliance with 8 C.F.R. 103.Sa(a) (2) (iv) •

Pursuant to a written agreement between Amwest Surety Insurance Company and the Service, a properly completed questionnaire must be attached to all Form I-340's (Notices to Surrender) going to the obligor on a surety bond. Failure to attach the questionnaire would result in rescission of any breach related to that Form I-340 notice.

Based on the provisions of the Amwest Agreement and the fact that the record fails to show that a properly completed questionnaire was sent to the obligor, the appeal will be sustained and the district director's decision declaring the bond breached will be withdrawn.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained. The district director's decision declaring the bond breached is withdrawn and the bond is continued in full force and effect.