



GI

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE: [Redacted] Office: Harlingen

Date: JUL 23 2001

IN RE: Obligor: [Redacted]
Bonded Alien: [Redacted]

IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for the Delivery of an Alien under §103 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 110

PUBLIC COPY

IN BEHALF OF OBLIGOR:
[Redacted]

identification data deleted to prevent identity information invasion of personal privacy.

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The delivery bond in this matter was declared breached by the District Director, Harlingen, Texas, and a subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Associate Commissioner for Examinations. The matter is before the Associate Commissioner on a motion to reopen. The motion will be dismissed and the order dismissing the appeal will be affirmed.

The record indicates that on July 28, 2000, the obligor posted a \$2,500 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form I-340) dated January 23, 2001, was sent to the obligor via certified mail, return receipt requested. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender into the custody of an officer of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (the Service) for removal at 10:00 a.m. on February 23, 2001, at PISPC, Route 3, [REDACTED]. The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On February 28, 2001, the district director informed the obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

On motion, counsel disagrees with the Associate Commissioner's decision to deny him additional time in which to prepare and file a brief upon receipt of a copy of the Service file. Counsel also asserts that the Form I-340 surrender notice is null and void because, contrary to the Amwest Settlement and nationwide Service directive, the Service did not attach a questionnaire to the surrender demand.

The Associate Commissioner stated in the order dismissing the appeal that the record contained evidence that a properly completed questionnaire with the alien's photograph attached was forwarded to the obligor with the notice to surrender pursuant to the Amwest/Reno Settlement Agreement entered into on June 22, 1995, by the Service and [REDACTED].

After a careful review of the present record, it is concluded that the record establishes that the notice to surrender was properly served on the obligor in compliance with 8 C.F.R. 103.5a(a)(2)(iv), the questionnaire was properly forwarded to the obligor pursuant to the Amwest/Reno Settlement Agreement, the conditions of the bond have been substantially violated, and the collateral has been forfeited. The motion will be dismissed, and the order dismissing the appeal will be affirmed.

ORDER: The motion is dismissed. The order of April 30, 2001, dismissing the appeal is affirmed.