



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

identifying data
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



GI

FILE: [Redacted] Office: Phoenix

Date: 06 FEB 2002

IN RE: Obligor: [Redacted]
Bonded Alien: [Redacted]

IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for the Delivery of an Alien under Section 103
of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1103

IN BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The delivery bond in this matter was declared breached by the District Director, Phoenix, Arizona, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be rejected, and the district director's decision will be affirmed.

The record indicates that on January 5, 2001, the obligor posted a \$6,000 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form I-340) dated April 2, 2001, was sent to the obligor via certified mail, return receipt requested. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender to the Immigration and Naturalization Service (the Service) for removal at 12:30 p.m. on May 2, 2001, at [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On May 22, 2001, the district director informed the obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

The appeal has been filed by the bonded alien's attorney. The alien and the attorney are without standing in this proceeding.

An immigration bond is a contract between the Service and the obligor. The obligor or her attorney-in-fact is the proper party to appeal the Service decision to breach the bond. See Matter of Insurance Company of North America, 17 I&N Dec. 251 (Act. Reg. Comm. 1978).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected, and the district director's decision is affirmed.