



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal




OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

FILE: [Redacted] Office: New York

Date: JUL 19 2002

IN RE: Obligor:
Bonded Alien:



IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond for Release of Alien under Exclusion Proceedings under
section 103 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C.
1103

IN BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The exclusion bond in this matter was declared breached by the District Director, New York, New York, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The record indicates that on January 21, 1992, the obligor posted a \$6,500 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form I-340) dated June 29, 1999, was sent to the obligor via certified mail, return receipt requested. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender into the custody of an officer of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (the Service) for removal at 9:00 a.m. on July 15, 1999, at 26 Federal Plaza, Room 12-110, New York, NY 10278. The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On August 23, 1999, the district director informed the obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

On appeal, the obligor asserts that he produced the alien "as initially requested on June 29, 1999." The obligor states that the Service officer re-released the alien to his (the alien's) attorney. The obligor contends it was not his understanding that he was responsible to return the alien to the INS office on July 15, 1999.

Exclusion bonds are violated if the obligor fails to cause the bonded alien, as frequently as required, to be delivered to an immigration officer, until such time as said alien is finally admitted to or finally departs from the United States and proof satisfactory to the immigration officer of such departure is made, or such alien is finally accepted by such officer for detention or deportation, then this obligation shall be void; otherwise it shall immediately become due and payable.

The regulations provide that an obligor shall be released from liability where there has been "substantial performance" of all conditions imposed by the terms of the bond. 8 C.F.R. 103.6(c)(3). A bond is breached when there has been a substantial violation of the stipulated conditions of the bond. 8 C.F.R. 103.6(e).

8 C.F.R. 103.5a(a)(2) provides that personal service may be effected by any of the following:

- (i) Delivery of a copy personally;
- (ii) Delivery of a copy at a person's dwelling house or usual place of abode by leaving it with some person of suitable age and discretion;
- (iii) Delivery of a copy at the office of an attorney or other person including a corporation, by leaving it with a person in charge;

(iv) Mailing a copy by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, addressed to a person at his last known address.

The bond (Form I-352) provides in pertinent part that the obligor "agrees that any notice to him/her in connection with this bond may be accomplished by mail directed to him/her at the above address." In this case, the Form I-352 listed [REDACTED] as the obligor's address.

The record fails to contain the certified mail receipt to indicate that the Notice to Deliver Alien was sent to the obligor at [REDACTED] on June 29, 1999 or to indicate that the obligor had received the notice to produce the bonded alien on July 15, 1999. Consequently, the record fails to establish that the district director properly served notice on the obligor in compliance with 8 C.F.R. 103.5a(a)(2)(iv).

After a careful review of the record, it is concluded that the conditions of the bond have not been substantially violated to cause the collateral to be forfeited. The appeal will be sustained, and the decision of the district director will be withdrawn.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained. The district director's decision declaring the bond breached is rescinded and the bond is continued in full force and effect.