



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

GI



FILE: [Redacted] Office: SAN ANTONIO Date: [Redacted]

IN RE: Obligor: [Redacted]  
Bonded Alien: [Redacted]


IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for the Delivery of an Alien under Section 103 of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1103

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The delivery bond in this matter was declared breached by the Field Office Director, Detention and Removal, San Antonio, Texas. A subsequent appeal was summarily dismissed by the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The motion will be dismissed. The order dismissing the appeal will be affirmed.

The record indicates that February 28, 2002, the obligor posted a \$7,500 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form I-340) dated February 5, 2003, was sent to the co-obligor via certified mail, return receipt requested. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender into the custody of an officer of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) at 10:00 a.m. on April 1, 2003, at [REDACTED]. The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On April 9, 2003, the field office director informed the co-obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that a motion to reopen a proceeding or reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the underlying decision, and that a motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days except that failure to file a motion to reopen during this period may be excused when the obligor has demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the obligor.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4), a motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed.

The AAO rendered its decision on January 2, 2004. This motion, dated February 24, 2004, was received by the San Antonio District Office on February 25, 2004, 54 days after the date of the AAO's decision. The obligor has not set forth any reason for the delay. The motion is untimely.

**ORDER:** The motion is dismissed. The order of January 2, 2004, dismissing the appeal is affirmed.