



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

CONFIDENTIAL
of confiding not to disclose
process clearly to determine
interest of national origin

G1



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: SAN ANTONIO Date: [REDACTED]


IN RE: Obligor: [REDACTED]
Bonded Alien: [REDACTED]

IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for the Delivery of an Alien under Section 103 of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1103

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The delivery bond in this matter was declared breached by the District Director, San Antonio, Texas. A subsequent appeal was summarily dismissed by the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The motion will be dismissed. The order dismissing the appeal will be affirmed.

The record indicates that on October 15, 2001, the obligor posted a \$7,500 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form I-340) dated March 20, 2002, was sent to the obligor via certified mail, return receipt requested. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender into the custody of an officer of the legacy Immigration and Naturalization Service, now Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), at 10:00 a.m. on April 22, 2002, at [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On May 15, 2002, the district director informed the obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that a motion to reopen a proceeding or reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the underlying decision, and that a motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days except that failure to file a motion to reopen during this period may be excused when the obligor has demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the obligor.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4), a motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed.

The AAO rendered its decision on October 4, 2002. This motion, dated October 3, 2003, was received by the San Antonio District Office on October 7, 2003, a year after the date of the AAO's decision. The obligor has not set forth any reason for the delay. The motion is untimely.

ORDER: The motion is dismissed. The order of October 4, 2002, dismissing the appeal is affirmed.