

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



61

FILE: [Redacted]


Office: NEW ORLEANS (MEM)

Date: **MAR 11 2005**

IN RE: Obligor: [Redacted]
Bonded Alien: [Redacted]

IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for the Delivery of an Alien under Section 103 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1103

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Mari Johnson

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The delivery bond in this matter was declared breached by the Field Office Director, Detention and Removal, New Orleans, Louisiana. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The motion will be granted. The order dismissing the appeal will be affirmed.

The record indicates that on May 9, 2003, the obligor posted a \$5,000 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form I-340) dated November 1, 2003, was sent to the obligor via Federal Express. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender into the custody of an officer of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) at 9:00 a.m. on December 9, 2003, at [REDACTED] Memphis, TN 38134. The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On December 10, 2003, the field office director informed the obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

On motion, counsel argues that the breach is invalid because ICE failed to comply with the Amwest/Reno Settlement Agreement with respect to the questionnaire.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2), a motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3), a motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration, and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4), a motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed.

It must be noted that delivery bonds are exacted to insure that aliens will be produced when and where required by the Service for hearings or removal. Such bonds are necessary in order for the Service to function in an orderly manner. The courts have long considered the confusion which would result if aliens could be surrendered at any time or place it suited the alien's or the surety's convenience. *Matter of L-*, 3 I&N Dec. 862 (C.O. 1950).

After a careful review of the record, it is concluded that the issue presented on motion was thoroughly addressed on appeal. Counsel's argument fails to contain new facts to be proved supporting a motion to reopen. The obligor has failed to produce the alien or to indicate that it could or would have produced him given more notice. Therefore, the motion will be dismissed, and the order dismissing the appeal will be affirmed.

ORDER: The motion is dismissed. The decision of the AAO dated September 30, 2004 is affirmed.