

**PUBLIC COPY**

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**



GI

FILE:



Office: BALTIMORE

Date: **SEP 14 2005**

IN RE:

Obligor:

Bonded Alien:



IMMIGRATION BOND:

Bond Conditioned for the Delivery of an Alien under Section 103 of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1103

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

2 Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The delivery bond in this matter was declared breached by the District Director, Baltimore, Maryland, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The record indicates that on February 7, 2000, the obligor posted a \$5,000 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form I-340) dated September 29, 2000, was sent via certified mail, return receipt requested. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender into the custody of an officer of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (legacy INS), now Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), at 8:00 a.m. on October 12, 2000, at Fallon Federal Building, 31 Hopkins Plaza, 6th Floor, Room 630, Baltimore, MD 21201. The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On November 28, 2000, the district director informed the obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

On appeal, counsel states that a point of contact (POC) list was not provided as required by the Amwest/Reno Settlement Agreement, entered into on June 22, 1995 by the legacy INS and Far West Surety Insurance Company.

The parties to the settlement agreement did not intend for the sending of a question or complaint to a POC to replace the existing procedures for filing either a motion for reconsideration with the office issuing a breach notice, or an appeal with the AAO. It was their intent, however, to create both an alternative, informal procedure for resolution of questions relating solely to the implementation of the settlement agreement, and a procedure through which sureties could obtain general information about bond practices in a particular district. Thus, if an obligor's concern about the validity of a breach is based entirely on the settlement agreement, it is entitled to seek resolution through the appropriate POC without paying any filing fee. If the surety has filed, or subsequently files, either a motion for reconsideration, or an appeal with the AAO on the same issue as that presented to a POC, the POC shall have no obligation to respond to the surety, but may do so. Sureties may not use a question or complaint to a POC to challenge a decision made in response to either a motion for reconsideration or an appeal to the AAO.

Counsel has failed to establish that the alleged unavailability of a POC was responsible for the obligor's failure to surrender the bonded alien upon demand.

Delivery bonds are violated if the obligor fails to cause the bonded alien to be produced or to produce himself/herself to an immigration officer or immigration judge upon each and every written request until removal proceedings are finally terminated, or until the alien is actually accepted by ICE for detention or removal. *Matter of Smith*, 16 I&N Dec. 146 (Reg. Comm. 1977).

The regulations provide that an obligor shall be released from liability where there has been "substantial performance" of all conditions imposed by the terms of the bond. 8 C.F.R. § 103.6(c)(3). A bond is breached when there has been a substantial violation of the stipulated conditions of the bond. 8 C.F.R. § 103.6(e).

8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(a)(2) provides that personal service may be effected by any of the following:

- (i) Delivery of a copy personally;
- (ii) Delivery of a copy at a person's dwelling house or usual place of abode by leaving it with some person of suitable age and discretion;

(iii) Delivery of a copy at the office of an attorney or other person including a corporation, by leaving it with a person in charge;

(iv) Mailing a copy by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, addressed to a person at his last known address.

The evidence of record indicates that the Notice to Deliver Alien dated September 29, 2000 was sent to the obligor at [REDACTED] via certified mail. This notice demanded that the obligor produce the bonded alien on October 12, 2000. The domestic return receipt indicates the obligor received notice to produce the bonded alien on October 2, 2000. Consequently, the record clearly establishes that the notice was properly served on the obligor in compliance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(a)(2)(iv).

It is clear from the language used in the bond agreement that the obligor shall cause the alien to be produced or the alien shall produce himself to an ICE officer upon each and every request of such officer until removal proceedings are either finally terminated or the alien is accepted by ICE for detention or removal.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the director did not provide the obligor with a questionnaire and photograph of the alien.

Pursuant to the Amwest/Reno Settlement Agreement, ICE agreed that a properly completed questionnaire would be attached to all Form I-340s (Notices to Surrender) going to the obligor on a surety bond. The failure to attach the questionnaire would result in rescission of any breach related to that Form I-340.

Based on the provisions of the Amwest Agreement and the fact that the record fails to show that a properly completed questionnaire was sent to the obligor, the appeal will be sustained. The district director's decision declaring the bond breached will be rescinded and the bond will be continued in full force and effect.

**ORDER:** The appeal is sustained. The district director's decision declaring the bond breached is rescinded and the bond is continued in full force and effect.