

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY

G1



FILE:



Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: NOV 27 2006

IN RE:

Obligor:  
Bonded Alien



IMMIGRATION BOND:

Bond Conditioned for Voluntary Departure under § 240B of the Immigration  
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1229c

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to  
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The voluntary departure bond in this matter was declared breached by the Field Office Director, Detention and Removal, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record indicates that on March 14, 2005, the obligor posted a \$500.00 bond conditioned for her voluntary departure. On March 8, 2005, an immigration judge (IJ) issued an order granting the alien voluntary departure in lieu of removal on or before May 9, 2005. On May 18, 2006, the field office director concluded the bond had been breached.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

On appeal, the alien asserts that she will present evidence of a motion to reopen.<sup>1</sup> The alien states that a brief and/or evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. However, more than four months later, no additional correspondence has been presented by the alien.

Inasmuch as the alien has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the regulations mandate the summary dismissal of the appeal.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) records do not reflect either an appeal or a motion to reopen has been filed.