

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY

G1

FILE:

Office: COW

Date: SEP 10 2008

IN RE:

Obligor:  
Bonded Alien

IMMIGRATION BOND:

Bond Conditioned for the Delivery of an Alien under Section 103 of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1103

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned  
to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

9 Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The delivery bond in this matter was declared breached by the Director, Headquarters, Bonds, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record indicates that on August 18, 2003, the obligor posted a \$10,000 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form I-340) dated February 27, 2007, was sent to the obligor via certified mail, return receipt requested. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender into the custody of ICE at 10:00 a.m. within five days of March 19, 2007, at the nearest ICE, Detention and Removal Office. The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On April 10, 2007, the director informed the obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

On appeal, the obligor asserted that the bonded alien had departed the United States on March 25, 1987 to Brazil. The obligor asserted that he is waiting for documentation, which would confirm the alien's departure from the United States Consulate in Sao Paulo, Brazil. The obligor requested an extension of 45 days in which to submit a brief and/or additional evidence. However, more than a year later, no additional correspondence has been presented by the obligor.

Inasmuch as the obligor has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the regulations mandate the summary dismissal of the appeal.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.