

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

G2



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: DENVER Date: APR 17 2008

IN RE: Obligor: [REDACTED]
Bonded Alien: [REDACTED]

IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for the Delivery of an Alien under Section 103 of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1103

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned
to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The delivery bond in this matter was declared breached by the Field Office Director, Detention and Removal, Denver, Colorado, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The record indicates that on July 11, 2003, the obligor posted a \$3,000 bond conditioned for the delivery of the above referenced alien. A Notice to Deliver Alien (Form I-340) dated January 17, 2007, was sent to the obligor via certified mail, return receipt requested. The notice demanded the bonded alien's surrender into the custody of an officer of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) at 9:00 a.m. on February 2, 2007, at 4730 Paris Street, Denver, CO 80239. The obligor failed to present the alien, and the alien failed to appear as required. On April 18, 2007, the field office director informed the obligor that the delivery bond had been breached.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a) provides, in part, that “[a] notice of appearance entered in application or petition proceedings must be signed by the obligor to authorize representation in order for the appearance to be recognized by ICE.”

In the instant case, the obligor did not sign the Form G-28, Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative.

Accordingly, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a), the AAO sought to clarify whether [REDACTED] is authorized to represent the obligor in this proceeding. On March 20, 2008, a facsimile was sent to counsel's office, requesting that a properly executed Form G-28 be mailed or faxed to the AAO within five business days. To date, however, the requested Form G-28 has not been submitted to the AAO. As there is nothing in the record that demonstrates that [REDACTED] is the obligor's representative and therefore acting on behalf of a recognized party, counsel is not authorized to file an appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(iii)(B). As the appeal was not properly file, it will be rejected. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(I).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected