

**PUBLIC COPY**

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

*CB*

JAN 12 2005

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date:

IN RE:

Obligor:  
Bonded Alien

[Redacted]

IMMIGRATION BOND:

Bond Conditioned for Voluntary Departure under § 240B of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1229c

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*Mari Johnson*

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The voluntary departure bond in this matter was declared breached by the Field Office Director, Detention and Removal, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record indicates that on December 29, 1999, the obligor posted a \$500.00 bond conditioned for her voluntary departure. An order of the immigration judge (IJ) dated December 28, 1999, was issued granting the alien voluntary departure in lieu of removal on or before February 28, 2000. The bonded alien appealed the IJ's decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). On June 21, 2002, the BIA dismissed the alien's appeal and granted the alien voluntary departure within 30 days from the date of the order, and granted the alien voluntary departure within 30 days from the date of the order. On July 1, 2004, the field office director concluded the bond had been breached.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the alien had an appeal pending before the Federal District Court for the Central District of California, and alien's voluntary departure period was "lawfully stayed."

Counsel, however, provides no evidence to support his assertion. The assertion of counsel does not constitute evidence. *Matter of Laureano*, 19 I&N Dec. 1, 3 (BIA 1983); *Matter of Obaigbena*, 19 I&N Dec. 533, 534 (BIA 1988); *Matter of Ramirez-Sanchez*, 17 I&N Dec. 503, 506 (BIA 1980).

An appeal to the federal court of appeals does not stay the execution of the removal order unless the court orders otherwise. Section 242(b)(3)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(3)(B). There is no evidence of record to indicate that the United States District Court has stayed the bonded alien's removal.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 1240.26(c)(3) provides that in order for the voluntary departure bond to be cancelled, the alien must provide proof of departure to the field office director.

No satisfactory evidence has been introduced into the record to establish the alien made a timely departure. The service of a notice to surrender or the presence of a certified mail receipt is not required in voluntary departure bond proceedings.

Voluntary departure bonds are exacted to ensure that aliens will depart when required in lieu of removal. Such bonds are necessary in order for Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) to function in an orderly manner. After a careful review of the record, it is concluded that the alien failed to depart by the stipulated time, the conditions of the bond have been substantially violated, and the collateral has been forfeited. The decision of the field office director will not be disturbed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.