

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

[REDACTED]

G3

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: COW Date: **MAR 05 2008**

IN RE: Obligor: [REDACTED]
Bonded Alien: [REDACTED]

IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for Voluntary Departure under § 240B of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1229c

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The voluntary departure bond in this matter was declared breached by the Director, Headquarters, Bonds, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record indicates that on October 1, 2004, the obligor posted a \$500.00 bond conditioned for her voluntary departure. On September 27, 2004, an immigration judge (IJ) issued an order granting the alien voluntary departure in lieu of removal on or before November 26, 2004. On October 27, 2004, the bonded alien appealed the IJ's decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). On December 19, 2005, the BIA dismissed the appeal, and granted the alien voluntary departure within 60 days from the date of the order. On January 17, 2006, the alien filed a petition for review before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Ninth Circuit). On June 15, 2007, the Ninth Circuit dismissed the petition for review and issued its mandate on July 9, 2007. On October 15, 2007, the director concluded the bond had been breached.

On appeal, the bonded alien asserts that she is separated from her husband, and that she “does not have information to prove” that a petition for review was filed before the Ninth Circuit.

As noted above, the alien’s petition for review was dismissed by the Ninth Circuit on July 9, 2007, and the mandate was issued on July 9, 2007.

The timely filing of a petition for review stays the voluntary departure period and preserves the number of remaining days within which to depart voluntarily. *See Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741, 743-744 (9th Cir. 2004). The period for an alien to voluntarily depart runs immediately upon issuance of an IJ’s or the BIA’s entry of order granting voluntary departure and not when the Ninth Circuit concludes its review. *See Zarzuela-Carrillo v. Ashcroft*, 322 F.3d 1166, 1170-75 (9th Cir. 2003). The authority to extend the time within which to depart voluntarily specified initially by an IJ or the BIA lies solely within the jurisdiction of certain Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and legacy Immigration and Naturalization Service officials listed in the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 1240.26(f).

The BIA’s decision of the alien’s appeal granted the alien a renewed voluntary departure period of 60 days was issued on December 19, 2005. The alien filed a petition for review on January 17, 2006, after 29 days had passed. The petition for review had the effect of tolling the remaining voluntary departure period of 31 days. *See Desta v. Ashcroft* at 747. The record reflects that the Ninth Circuit mandate was issued on July 9, 2007.

In calculating the remaining period of voluntary departure, the AAO relies on *Lagandaon v. Ashcroft*, 383 F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2004), in which the Ninth Circuit found that “the period beginning May 14, 1987, and ending May 13, 1997, is ‘a continuous period of not less than 10 years.’” In the *Lagandaon* decision, the Ninth Circuit observed that the period from January 1 to December 31 is recognized as a year, and that a period of continuous presence ends “when” a Notice to Appear is served. The Ninth Circuit also cited *Griffith v. Bogert*, 59 U.S. 158, 159 (1855), in which the United States Supreme Court held that the 18-month period that began on November 1, 1819, ended on April 30, 1821, rather than May 1 of the latter year. By the same logic, the period within which to voluntarily depart resumed on the day that the mandate was issued: July 9, 2007. The period of July 9, 2007 through August 8, 2007 amounts to 31 days. We note that the present matter arose within the jurisdiction of the Ninth Circuit.

Accordingly, the alien’s 60-day period of voluntary departure ended on August 8, 2007. The director’s decision of October 15, 2007, declaring the bond breached is valid.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 1240.26(c)(3) provides that in order for the voluntary departure bond to be cancelled, the alien must provide proof of departure to the director.

No satisfactory evidence has been introduced into the record to establish the alien made a timely departure. The service of a notice to surrender or the presence of a certified mail receipt is not required in voluntary departure bond proceedings.

Voluntary departure bonds are exacted to ensure that aliens will depart when required in lieu of removal. Such bonds are necessary in order for ICE to function in an orderly manner. After a careful review of the record, it is concluded that the alien failed to depart by the stipulated time, the conditions of the bond have been substantially violated, and the collateral has been forfeited. The decision of the director will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.