

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

G3



FILE: [Redacted] Office: COW Date: **JUL 09 2010**

IN RE: Obligor: [Redacted]  
Bonded Alien: [Redacted]

IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for Voluntary Departure under § 240B of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1229c

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

2 Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The voluntary departure bond in this matter was declared breached by the Director, Headquarters, Bonds, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record indicates that on January 11, 2005, an immigration judge (IJ) issued an order granting the alien voluntary departure in lieu of removal on or before March 14, 2005. On January 11, 2005, the obligor posted a \$500.00 bond conditioned for his voluntary departure. The bonded alien appealed the IJ's decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). On February 17, 2006, the BIA dismissed the appeal, and granted the alien voluntary departure within 60 days from the date of the order. On June 23, 2006, the alien filed a petition for review before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Ninth Circuit). On September 26, 2006, the Ninth Circuit dismissed the petition for review and issued its mandate on October 18, 2006. On December 27, 2007, the director concluded that the bond had been breached.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

On appeal, the alien requested an extension of 30 days in which to submit a brief and/or additional evidence to the AAO. However, more than two years later, no additional correspondence has been presented by the alien.

Inasmuch as the alien has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the regulations mandate the summary dismissal of the appeal.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.