



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

H2



FILE:



Office: LOS ANGELES, CA

Date: ~~MAY 07 2004~~

IN RE:



APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(h) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Los Angeles, California and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(II), for having been convicted of a crime involving a controlled substance. The applicant is married to a United States citizen and seeks a waiver under section 212(h) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h), in order to remain in the United States with his spouse.

The district director concluded that the applicant violated a law relating to a controlled substance and was therefore inadmissible to the United States. *See* Decision of the District Director, dated October 8, 2003.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that he entered the United States when he was six years old. He states that he is married to a United States citizen and has a “good record of a [sic] honest life.” *See* Form I-290B, dated December 15, 2003. The applicant submits a letter from the Clerk of the Superior Court of Cochise County, Arizona, dated December 29, 2003.

The record reflects that on March 25, 1987, the Arizona Superior Court of Cochise County, Bisbee, Arizona, convicted the applicant of Possession of Marijuana for Sale.

Section 212(a)(2) of the Act states:

- (A)(i) In general. – Except as provided in clause (ii), any alien convicted of, or who admits having committed, or who admits committing acts which constitute the essential elements of –
 - (I) a violation of (or conspiracy or attempt to violate) any law or regulation of a State, the United States, or a foreign country relating to a controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)), is inadmissible.

Section 212(h) of the Act provides, in pertinent part:

The Attorney General [Secretary] may, in his discretion, waive the application of subparagraph (A)(i)(I), (B), (D), and (E) or subsection (a)(2) and subparagraph (A)(i)(II) of such subsection insofar as it relates to a single offense of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana . . .

The record reflects that the applicant was convicted of a drug offense other than “simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana.” The statute enacted by Congress does not provide for a waiver at the Secretary’s discretion for the offense committed by the applicant.

Because the applicant is statutorily ineligible for relief, no purpose would be served in discussing whether the applicant has established extreme hardship to his U.S. citizen wife or whether he merits the

waiver as a matter of discretion.

In proceedings for application for waiver of grounds of inadmissibility under section 212(h) of the Act, the burden of proving eligibility remains entirely with the applicant. *See* Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the applicant has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.