

H2



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: SAN FRANCISCO DISTRICT OFFICE

Date:

IN RE:

[Redacted]

NOV 01 2004

APPLICATION:

Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the District Director, San Francisco. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter will be remanded to the District Director.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of the Philippines. The applicant was found inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA, the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i). The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility to remain in the United States. The district director found that the applicant had failed to establish extreme hardship to his U.S. citizen spouse. The application was denied accordingly.

The applicant filed timely a "Motion to Reopen/Reconsider" the denial of the waiver application. Jurisdiction over such a motion rests with the official who made the last decision in the proceeding. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). In the instant case, the last decision was made by the district director. The matter is therefore remanded to the district director for further proceedings, with no determination on the motion.

**ORDER:** The matter is remanded to the district director for a decision on the motion.