

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Avenue, N.W. Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

H2

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: LOS ANGELES DISTRICT OFFICE

Date: JAN 10 2005

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Los Angeles. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA, the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i). The record reflects that the applicant is the son of a lawful permanent resident. He seeks a waiver of inadmissibility in order to remain in the United States and adjust his status to that of a lawful permanent resident as the beneficiary of a relative petition filed on his behalf by his father.

The district director found that, because the applicant failed to submit any evidence in support of the waiver application, he failed to establish that the refusal of his admission would cause his father extreme hardship as required by INA § 212(i), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i). The application was denied accordingly. *Decision of the District Director* (December 2, 2003).

On appeal, the applicant requests CIS to reconsider the decision to deny the application and “change in good faith.” *Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Unit* (Form I-290B) (December 9, 2003).

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant failed to identify any errors of law or fact in the decision below for review on appeal. The applicant’s notice of appeal will therefore be dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.