

Identifying data deleted to
prevent identity misrepresentation
in case of network breach

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

H2



FILE:



Office: MIAMI, FL

Date: SEP 28 2015

IN RE:



APPLICATION:

Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(h) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Acting District Director, Miami, FL denied the Form I-601 Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The record indicates that the acting district director issued the decision on August 29, 2003. The appeal was received by CIS on October 2, 2003, or 34 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed. The AAO notes that a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative, is in the record, but it has not been submitted by an individual or organization recognized by the Board of Immigration Appeals. Therefore, the decision is only being sent to the applicant.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the acting district director, Miami, FL. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The acting district director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.