

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

H2

PUBLIC COPY



FILE:



Office: DENVER, CO

Date:

DEC 05 2006

IN RE:



APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(h) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Denver, Colorado. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I), for having been convicted of crimes involving moral turpitude, theft and reckless endangerment. **The applicant is married to a U.S. citizen. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility in order to reside with her family in the United States.**

The district director concluded that the applicant failed to demonstrate that the denial of the application for a waiver of the ground of inadmissibility would create any extreme hardship to her U.S. citizen spouse. The application was denied accordingly. *See District Director Decision*, dated July 23, 2004.

On appeal, the applicant states that she hopes the AAO will reconsider her application. She also states that she is done with all of her court dates and has paid all of the money she owed. The applicant submitted a letter from her spouse, which asks that the AAO please let the applicant stay with the family. No other evidence or information was submitted, and the appeal does not dispute or otherwise address the grounds upon which the applicant's waiver application was denied.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part that:

- (v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the district director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.