

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

H2

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: CHICAGO, IL

Date: MAY 10 2006

IN RE:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Chicago, Illinois. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for having falsely claimed to be a United States citizen prior to September 30, 1996. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), in order to reside in the United States.

The district director denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Excludability (Form I-601) for having no basis at the time of filing. *Decision of the District Director*, dated August 19, 2004.

On appeal, counsel states that the applicant is statutorily eligible for an I-601 waiver. *Form I-290B*, dated September 23, 2004. Counsel indicated that a brief and/or evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. On April 26, 2006 the AAO sent a fax to counsel requesting a copy of the brief. No response was received, therefore the file is considered complete.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(v) (2002) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary Dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant has failed to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in her appeal. The appeal will therefore be summarily dismissed.

In proceedings for application for waiver of grounds of inadmissibility under section 212(i) of the Act, the burden of proving eligibility remains entirely with the applicant. *See* Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the applicant has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.