



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

tl3

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

FILE:

Office: PHOENIX DISTRICT OFFICE

Date:

APR 04 2007

IN RE:

PETITION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v)
of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 212(a)(9)(B)(v)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Phoenix, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The application will be denied.

The applicant, a citizen of Mexico, was found inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year. The applicant is the spouse of a United States citizen, and seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), in order to remain in the United States with her husband and family.

The district director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed on her husband, the qualifying relative, and denied the Form I-601, Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility.

The petitioner submitted the Form I-290B and supporting documentation on September 30, 2005. However, excluding the Form I-290B, every document submitted on appeal was before the director at the time he made his decision. Thus, the only new document submitted on appeal is the Form I-290B, which states the following:

I believe the decision of the Director was wrong. I submitted sufficient hardship evidence to have my waiver granted. The Director's decision is against the majority of these cases given similar facts.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

On appeal, the petitioner is in essence resubmitting evidence already contained in the record, this time for the AAO's consideration. The petitioner fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. As no additional evidence is presented on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

In proceedings for application for waiver of grounds of inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v), the burden of establishing that the application merits approval remains entirely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The applicant has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The waiver application is denied.