



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

H2

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **MAY 23 2007**

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:
[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director of the California Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director found that the applicant was inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), as an alien who attempted to enter the United States or obtain an immigration benefit by fraud or willful misrepresentation of a material fact. The director determined that the applicant did not qualify for a waiver of his ground of inadmissibility because he failed to establish that his U.S. citizen wife would suffer extreme hardship if he were denied admission into the United States. The applicant's Form I-601, Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) was denied accordingly.

On appeal the applicant states:

As the husband of a U.S. citizen for the past 5 years; and having submitted sufficient evidence to prove *[sic]* great hardship to my citizen wife, I believe that the I-601 waiver should have *[sic]* been granted.

The applicant makes no other assertions on appeal, and the applicant indicates that he will not submit a separate brief or evidence on appeal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part:

Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant has failed to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in his appeal. The appeal will therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.