



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

H2

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

Date: **MAY 30 2007**

IN RE:

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Newark, New Jersey. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Haiti who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for attempting to procure admission to the United States by fraud or willful misrepresentation. The record indicates that the applicant is married to a naturalized United States citizen. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), in order to reside in the United States with his United States citizen spouse and United States citizen son.

The District Director found that the applicant failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed on the applicant's spouse and denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Excludability (Form I-601) accordingly. *District Director Decision*, dated May 23, 2006.

On appeal, the applicant, through counsel, requested 30-days to submit a brief and/or evidence to the AAO. *Form I-290B*, filed June 26, 2006. The record contains no evidence that a brief or additional evidence was filed within 30-days. On May 11, 2007, the AAO sent counsel a facsimile requesting evidence of the brief and/or additional evidence, or a statement by counsel that neither a brief nor evidence was filed; however, the AAO received no reply from counsel. The AAO notes that no other evidence or information was submitted, and the appeal does not dispute or otherwise address the grounds upon which the applicant's Form I-601 was denied.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in pertinent part that:

- (v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the District Director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.