

H2

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
Washington, D.C. 20536

PUBLIC COPY



AUG 29 2003

FILE: [Redacted] Office: SEOUL, KOREA

Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(h) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been reviewed by the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Application for a Waiver of Inadmissibility was denied by the Officer in Charge, Seoul, Korea, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record reflects that on February 12, 2003, the officer in charge found the applicant was inadmissible to the U.S. pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ("the Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I), as an alien who was convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude (theft and larceny by shoplifting.) The applicant's waiver application was denied accordingly.

The applicant's Notice of Appeal, which was accepted by the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services as timely filed, states simply, "[I] would like to appeal to your consideration for the immigrant visa." The applicant's appeal does not address the grounds on which she was found inadmissible and she provides no other information, argument, evidence or basis for her appeal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant in this case failed to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in her appeal. The appeal will therefore be dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed and the officer in charge decision is affirmed.