

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY




Handwritten initials

FILE:  Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **MAR 18 2005**

IN RE: Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Handwritten signature

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permission to reapply for admission after removal was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The Director's decision will be withdrawn, and the matter will be remanded to him for further action.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who on September 22, 1997, at the Calexico, California Port of Entry, attempted to procure admission into the United States. The applicant presented a valid Mexican passport with a valid boarder crossing card visa that did not belong to her. The applicant was found inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i) for having attempted to procure admission into the United States by fraud and willful misrepresentation of a material fact. Consequently on the same day the applicant was expeditiously removed from the United States pursuant to section 235(b)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(1). The record reflects that the applicant reentered the United States on an unknown date but before April 2, 1998, the date she gave birth to her daughter, without a lawful admission or parole and without permission to reapply for admission in violation of section 276 the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1326 (a felony). The applicant is the beneficiary of an approved Petition for Alien Relative (Form I-130) filed by her Lawful Permanent Resident (LPR) spouse. The applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(i). She seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to remain in the United States and reside with her LPR spouse and U.S. citizen children.

The Director determined that the applicant was inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for a period of one year or more. In addition the Director determined that section 241(a)(5) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1231(a)(5) applies in this matter and the applicant is not eligible for any relief for benefit from her Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission After Removal (Form I-212). The Director denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *See Director's Decision* dated October 14, 2004.

Section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that:

(B) Aliens Unlawfully Present.-

(i) In general.-Any alien (other than an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence) who-

.....

(II) has been unlawfully present in the United States for one year or more, and who again seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal from the United States, is inadmissible.

The record reflects that the applicant was expeditiously removed from the United States on September 22, 1997, and reentered on an unknown date but before April 2, 1998, and remained in the United States without a lawful admission or parole. If the applicant accrued unlawful presence she is eligible to file an Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v) based on her marriage to a LPR.

In its August 14, 2004, decision, *Perez-Gonzalez v. Ashcroft*, 379 F.3d 783 (9th Cir. 2004), the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that a Mexican national who returned to the United States following a deportation and had his deportation order reinstated may nonetheless obtain adjustment of status if his Form I-212 is granted. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals stated in *Perez-Gonzalez* that: "Given the fact that [REDACTED] applied for the waiver *before* his deportation order was reinstated, he was not yet subject to its terms and, therefore, was not barred from applying for relief." The Court further states: "Prior administrative decisions of the Bureau of Immigration Appeals confirm the fact that permission to reapply is available on a *nunc pro tunc* basis, in which the petitioner receives permission to reapply for admission after he or she has already reentered the country."

The record of proceedings does not reveal that the applicant's prior removal order was reinstated at the time she filed the Form I-212. Since this case arises in the Ninth Circuit, *Perez-Gonzalez* is controlling. The applicant is eligible to file a Form I-212 and the applicant is not subject to section 241(a)(5) of the Act.

This office finds that although the applicant is not subject to section 241(a)(5) of the Act, she is clearly inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Act.

Section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

(i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

(iii) Exception. - Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the aliens' reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign continuous territory, the Attorney General has consented to the aliens' reapplying for admission.

A review of the 1996 IIRIRA amendments to the Act and prior statutes and case law regarding permission to reapply for admission, reflects that Congress has (1) increased the bar to admissibility and the waiting period from 5 to 10 years in most instances and to 20 years for others, (2) has added a bar to admissibility for aliens who are unlawfully present in the United States, and (3) has imposed a permanent bar to admission for aliens who have been ordered removed and who subsequently enter or attempt to enter the United States without being lawfully admitted. It is concluded that Congress has placed a high priority on reducing and/or stopping aliens from overstaying their authorized period of stay and/or from being present in the United States without a lawful admission or parole.

On appeal counsel requests that the U.S. Department of Justice exercises its discretion and grant applicant permission to reapply for admission into the United States after deportation or removal on humanitarian grounds.

The proceeding in the present case is for the application for permission to reapply for admission into the United States after deportation or removal. The Director denied the Form I-212 because he found that no purpose would be served in approving the application for permission to reapply after deportation, since the applicant was not eligible for any relief or benefit from the application. As noted above the applicant is eligible to file a Form I-212 pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act. If the application is granted she will be eligible to file an Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(i) of the Act, for her inadmissibility under section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act and section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act for her inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Act.

In view of the foregoing, the Director's decision will be withdrawn and the record will be remanded to him in order to adjudicate the Form I-212 pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act.

ORDER: The Director's decision is withdrawn. The matter is remanded to him for further action consistent with the foregoing discussion.