

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

H4



FILE:

Office: PHOENIX

Date:

AUG 21 2006

IN RE:



APPLICATION:

Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Acting District Director, Phoenix, Arizona, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who, on May 14, 1998, at the San Luis, Arizona, Port of Entry, applied for admission into the United States. The applicant presented an I-551 Lawful Permanent Resident Card, belonging to another, under the name [REDACTED]. The applicant was found inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182 (a)(6)(C)(i) for having attempted to procure admission into the United States by fraud. Consequently, on May 14, 1998, the applicant was expeditiously removed from the United States pursuant to section 235(b)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(1). The record reflects that the applicant reentered the United States without a lawful admission or parole and without permission to reapply for admission, on an unknown date, but prior to August 26, 2000, the date on which he was arrested for sexual misconduct with a minor in Yuma, Arizona. The charges against the applicant were dismissed. The acting district director found the applicant to be inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(i). He seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to remain in the United States and reside with his lawful permanent resident parents and U.S. citizen daughter.

The acting district director determined that the unfavorable factors in the applicant's case outweighed the favorable factors and denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *See Acting Director's Decision*, dated August 23, 2005

On appeal, counsel contends that the favorable factors in the applicant's case outweigh the unfavorable factors and that, since it has been more than five years since the applicant's removal from the United States he is no longer inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(i) of the Act. *See Applicant's Brief*, dated October 17, 2005. To support his contentions, counsel submitted the above-referenced brief, affidavits from the applicant's parents, copies of mortgage documents, documents regarding the applicant's criminal charges, letters of recommendation, documents regarding the applicant's daughter, employment and tax records for the applicant, country conditions information for Mexico and copies of family photographs. The entire record was reviewed in rendering a decision in this case.

Before the AAO can weigh the discretionary factors in this case, it must first determine whether the applicant is eligible to apply for the relief requested. As noted previously, the applicant was expeditiously removed from the United States on May 14, 1998. The applicant reentered the United States after his removal without a lawful admission or parole and without permission to reapply for admission.

The AAO finds that the applicant is clearly inadmissible under sections 212(a)(9)(A) and 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act and, therefore, must receive permission to reapply for admission.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

(i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated

upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

(iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

....

(C) Aliens unlawfully present after previous immigration violations.-

(i) In general.-Any alien who-

(I) has been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than 1 year, or

(II) has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1), section 240, or any other provision of law, and who enters or attempts to reenter the United States without being admitted is inadmissible.

(ii) Exception.- Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission more than 10 years after the date of the alien's last departure from the United States if, prior to the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be readmitted from a foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission. The Secretary, in the Secretary's discretion, may waive the provisions of section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) in the case of an alien to whom the Secretary has granted classification under clause (iii), (iv), or (v) of section 204(a)(1)(A), or classification under clause (ii), (iii), or (iv) of section 204(a)(1)(B), in any case in which there is a connection between—

(1) the alien's having been battered or subjected to extreme cruelty; and

(2) the alien's--

(A) removal;

(B) departure from the United States;

(C) reentry or reentries into the United States; or

(D) attempted reentry into the United States.

The AAO notes that an exception to the section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) ground of inadmissibility is available to individuals classified as battered spouses under the cited sections of section 204 of the Act. *See also* 8 U.S.C. § 1154. There are no indications in the record that the applicant is or should be classified as such.

An alien who is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act may not apply for consent to reapply unless more than 10 years have elapsed since the date of the alien's last departure from the United States. *See Matter of Torres-Garcia*, 23 I&N Dec. 866 (BIA 2006). Thus, to avoid inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act, it must be the case that the applicant's last departure was at least ten years ago *and* that Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) has consented to the applicant's reapplying for admission. In the present matter, the applicant's last departure from the United States occurred on May 14, 1998, less than ten years ago. He is currently statutorily ineligible to apply for permission to reapply for admission. When the applicant becomes eligible to file the Form I-212 he may also need to file the Form I-601 to apply for a waiver of the 212(a)(6)(C)(i) inadmissibility grounds pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act.

Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361, provides that the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that the applicant is eligible for the benefit sought. The applicant in the instant case does not qualify for an exception under section 212(a)(9)(C)(ii) of the Act. Thus, as a matter of law, the applicant is not eligible for approval of a Form I-212. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.