



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

HH



FILE:

Office: SAN ANTONIO

Date: JUL 17 2006

IN RE:



APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after
Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and
Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The District Director, San Antonio, Texas, denied the application for permission to reapply for admission and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that, on April 5, 2005, the district director found that the applicant or an authorized party did not sign the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212). The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(2) provides that, for an application to be properly filed, it must be signed by the applicant or an authorized party. As such, the district director found that the Form I-212 was not properly filed and it could not be approved. The district director denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *Decision of the District Director*, dated April 5, 2005.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The record reflects that, on May 6, 2005, the applicant's spouse filed a Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office (Form I-290B). On appeal, the applicant's spouse simply asserts, "I [REDACTED] are asking for my husband [REDACTED] to be legal hear in the U.S.A. for the reason that I am a mother of 6 children. I am a working mother but I need the support of my husband and my children are needing their father [REDACTED] with them too. In the year of 1998 [REDACTED] voluntarily left to Mexico I am asking to please forgive him." The applicant failed to identify on the Form I-290B, or through submission of a brief or evidence, any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact made by the district director. The applicant's notice of appeal will therefore be dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed and the district director's decision is affirmed.