


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy
PUBLIC COPY




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

H4



FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUN 05 2006

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after
Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and
Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who on July 30, 2003, at the Calexico, California, Port of Entry, applied for admission into the United States. The applicant presented a counterfeit Arrival-Departure Record (Form I-94), with a stamp indicating that he had been granted permanent resident status. The applicant was found inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182 (a)(6)(C)(i) for having attempted to procure admission into the United States by fraud. Consequently, on the same day the applicant was expeditiously removed from the United States pursuant to section 235(b)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(1). The record reflects that the applicant reentered the United States, on August 10, 2003, without a lawful admission or parole and without permission to reapply for admission, in violation of section 276 the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1326 (a felony). On August 11, 2003, a Notice of Intent/Decision to Reinstate Prior Order (Form I-871) was issued pursuant to section 241(a)(5) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(5), and as a result, on August 12, 2003, the applicant was removed to Mexico. The applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(i) and seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to travel to the United States and reside with his U.S. mother.

The Director determined that the applicant is inadmissible under Section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act and is not eligible and may not apply for any relief since 10 years have not passed since his last departure, and denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *See Director's Decision* dated April 7, 2005.

On appeal, the applicant states that the reason he wants to enter the United States is to be with his family because he is alone in Mexico.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part:

(v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal....

In the instant case the applicant has failed to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal and, therefore, it will be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.