

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

H4

PUBLIC COPY



FILE: Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: JUL 30 2008

IN RE: 

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:  


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, California Service Center denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who, on September 23, 1998, married her then lawful permanent resident spouse, [REDACTED] in Mexico. On May 3, 1999, the applicant applied for admission at the San Ysidro, California Port of Entry. The applicant presented a Form I-586, Border Crossing Card, under the name [REDACTED]. The applicant was found inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), as an alien attempting to obtain entry into the United States by fraud. On May 4, 1999, the applicant was expeditiously removed from the United States pursuant to section 235(b)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(1). The applicant reentered the United States without admission or permission on an unknown date, but prior to February 8, 2000, the date on which she gave birth to her U.S. citizen son in Mission Viejo, California. On December 17, 2001, the applicant was issued a Notice of Intent/Decision to Reinstate Prior Order. On December 17, 2001, the applicant was removed from the United States and returned to Mexico. On February 22, 2002, [REDACTED] filed a Petition for Alien Relative (Form I-130) on behalf of the applicant. On June 20, 2003, [REDACTED] became a naturalized U.S. citizen. On September 30, 2005, the Form I-130 was approved. The applicant reentered the United States without admission or permission on an unknown date, but prior to July 25, 2006, the date on which the applicant filed the Form I-212 indicating that she resided in the United States. The applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(i), as an alien who is seeking admission within 20 years of her subsequent removal from the United States. She seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to reside in the United States with her U.S. citizen spouse and son.

The director determined that the applicant was inadmissible to the United States pursuant to sections 212(a)(6)(A)(i), 212(a)(6)(C)(i), 212(a)(9)(A)(i), 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) and 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), 1182(a)(9)(A)(i), 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) and 1182(a)(9)(C)(i), as an alien present in the United States without inspection, who attempted to enter the United State by fraud, has been removed from the United States, accrued unlawful presence for more than one year and is seeking admission within ten years of her last departure, and as an alien who illegally reentered the United States after removal. The director also found that the applicant did not warrant a favorable exercise of discretion and denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *See Director's Decision* dated July 11, 2007.

On appeal, counsel contends that the director failed to properly weigh the evidence in regard to exercising her discretion. *See Counsel's Brief*, dated August 1, 2007. In support of his contentions, counsel submits only the referenced brief. The entire record was considered in rendering a decision in this case.

Section 212(a) of the Act provides in pertinent part:

- (6) Illegal entrants and immigration violators.-
  - (A) ALIENS PRESENT WITHOUT admission or parole.-
    - (i) In general.-An alien present in the United States without being admitted or paroled, or who arrives in the United States at any time or place other than as designated by the Attorney General, is inadmissible.

- (ii) Exception for certain battered women and children.-Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien who demonstrates that-
  - (I) the alien qualifies for immigrant status under subparagraph (A)(iii), (A)(iv), (B)(ii), or (B)(iii) of section 204(a)(1)
  - (II) (a) the alien has been battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a spouse or parent, or by a member of the spouse's or parent's family residing in the same household as the alien and the spouse or parent consented or acquiesced to such battery or cruelty, or (b) the alien's child has been battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a spouse or parent of the alien (without the active participation of the alien in the battery or cruelty) or by a member of the spouse's or parent's family residing in the same household as the alien when the spouse or parent consented to or acquiesced in such battery or cruelty and the alien did not actively participate in such battery or cruelty, and
  - (III) there was a substantial connection between the battery or cruelty described in subclause (I) or (II) and the alien's unlawful entry into the United States.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

- (i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.
- (ii) Other aliens.-Any alien not described in clause (i) who-
  - (I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or
  - (II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and who seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case on a alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.
- (iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

The AAO notes that an exception to section 212(a)(6)(A)(i) of the Act is available to individuals classified as battered spouses under the cited sections of section 204 of the Act. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1154. There are no indications in the record that the applicant is or should be classified as such. The record and a review of relevant Citizenship and Immigration Services' (CIS) data bases fail to establish that the applicant is the beneficiary of any immigrant or nonimmigrant visa petition, filed prior to April 30, 2001, that would render her inadmissibility moot pursuant to section 245(i) of the Act. Aliens present within the United States without admission or parole are statutorily ineligible for a waiver of inadmissibility. Therefore, if an alien is present in the United States without admission or parole, the alien is subject to a permanent ground of inadmissibility for which no waiver is available.

*Matter of Martinez-Torres*, 10 I&N Dec. 776 (Reg. Comm. 1964) held that an application for permission to reapply for admission is denied, in the exercise of discretion, to an alien who is mandatorily inadmissible to the United States under another section of the Act, and no purpose would be served in granting the application.

The applicant is subject to the provisions of section 212(a)(6)(A)(i) of the Act, which are very specific and applicable. Therefore, no purpose would be served in the favorable exercise of discretion in adjudicating the application to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act. As the applicant is statutorily inadmissible to the United States, the appeal will be dismissed as a matter of discretion.

The AAO notes that if the applicant departs the United States in order to consular process her family-based visa petition, she will need to seek a waiver of inadmissibility under sections 212(a)(9)(B)(v) and 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v) and 1182(i), by filing an Application for Waiver of Ground of Inadmissibility (Form I-601). The Form I-601 must be filed in conjunction with a new Form I-212 in order to seek permission to reapply for admission. Both waiver requests should be submitted to the U.S. consulate having jurisdiction over the applicant's place of residence in Mexico. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 212.2(d).

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.