

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

H4



FILE: [Redacted] Office: CHICAGO, ILLINOIS Date: NOV 03 2008


IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under Section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:
[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permission to reapply for admission after removal was denied by the Field Office Director, Chicago, Illinois, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was granted lawful permanent resident status on August 7, 1987. On April 11, 1996, the applicant was convicted of unlawful possession of a controlled substance, cocaine, and was sentenced to four (4) years imprisonment. On the same day, the applicant was convicted of delivery of a controlled substance, and was sentenced to thirteen (13) years imprisonment. On February 7, 1997, an Order to Show Cause and Notice of Hearing (OSC) was issued against the applicant. On July 9, 1997, an immigration judge ordered the applicant deported from the United States and terminated his lawful permanent resident status. On October 10, 1997, a Warrant of Removal/Deportation (Form I-205) was issued. On June 30, 2000, the applicant was removed from the United States. On an unknown date, in September 2000, the applicant reentered the United States without inspection. On November 1, 2006, the applicant's previous order of removal was reinstated, and on November 2, 2006, another Form I-205 was issued. On November 9, 2006, the applicant was removed from the United States. The applicant is inadmissible to the United States under sections 212(a)(9)(A)(ii)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii)(I); 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(II); and 212(a)(2)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(C). He now seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii), in order to reside with his wife and children.

The Field Office Director determined that the applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C), for reentering the United States without inspection after being removed, and he found the unfavorable factors outweigh the favorable factors. The Field Office Director denied the applicant's Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission After Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) accordingly. *Field Office Director's Decision*, dated January 22, 2008. Additionally, the AAO finds that the applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(II), for violating any law or regulation relating to a controlled substance, and section 212(a)(2)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(C), for being convicted of a controlled substance trafficking offense.

Section 212(a)(9). Aliens previously removed.-

(A) Certain alien previously removed.-

(ii) Other aliens.- Any alien not described in clause (i) who-

- (I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or
- (II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the

case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an aliens convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

(iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the aliens' reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign continuous territory, the [Secretary, Department of Homeland Security] has consented to the aliens' reapplying for admission.

Section 212(a)(2) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Conviction of certain crimes. -

(i) [A]ny alien convicted of, or who admits having committed, or who admits committing acts which constitute the essential elements of-

(I) a crime involving moral turpitude...or an attempt or conspiracy to commit such a crime, or

(II) a violation of (or a conspiracy or attempt to violate) any law or regulation of a State, the United States, or a foreign country relating to a controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)),

is inadmissible.

. . . .

(C) Controlled substance traffickers.-

Any alien who the consular officer or the Attorney General [now, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security] knows or has reason to believe-

(i) is or has been an illicit trafficker in any controlled substance...

. . . .

is inadmissible.

Section 212(h) of the Act provides, in pertinent part:

The Attorney General [Secretary of Homeland Security] may, in his discretion, waive the application of subparagraph (A)(i)(I), (B), (D), and (E) or subsection (a)(2) *and subparagraph*

(A)(i)(II) of such subsection insofar as it relates to a single offense of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana...(emphasis added.)

The AAO finds that the applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(II), for violating any law related to a controlled substance. In order for the applicant to qualify for a waiver pursuant to section 212(h) of the Act, he must have been convicted of only a single offense of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana. Since the applicant was not convicted of a single offense of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana, there is no waiver of the applicant's ground of inadmissibility. The applicant is inadmissible under sections 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) and 212(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and; therefore, he is statutorily ineligible for a waiver of inadmissibility.

Additionally, eligibility for a waiver under section 212(h) is limited, in that:

. . . .

No waiver shall be granted under this subsection in the case of an alien who has previously been admitted to the United States as an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if either since the date of such admission the alien has been convicted of an aggravated felony...

The AAO notes that the applicant's conviction for delivery of a controlled substance is an aggravated felony, under section 101(a)(43) of the Act. *See U.S. v. Chavaria-Angel*, 323 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2003). The AAO notes that delivery is punishable as distribution under 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(2), and distribution is a drug trafficking crime. *See* 19 U.S.C. § 924(c)(2). The term "aggravated felony" includes "any drug trafficking crime as defined in section 924(c)(2) of Title 18..." 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43). Therefore, the AAO finds that delivery of cocaine is an aggravated felony as defined in 8 U.S.C § 1101(a)(43). Since the applicant was convicted of an aggravated felony after he was lawfully admitted for permanent residence to the United States, he is ineligible for a waiver under section 212(h) of the Act. Additionally, the applicant is statutorily ineligible for relief under section 212(h) based on his controlled substance conviction.

Matter of Martinez-Torres, 10 I&N Dec. 776 (reg. Comm. 1964) held that an application for permission to reapply for admission is denied, in the exercise of discretion, to an alien who is mandatorily inadmissible to the United States under another section of the Act, and no purpose would be served in granting the application.

No waiver is available to an alien who has been convicted of drug related crimes or who has previously been admitted to the United States as an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if since the date of such admission the alien has been convicted of an aggravated felony. Therefore, no purpose would be served in the favorable exercise of discretion in adjudicating the application to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act. As the applicant is statutorily inadmissible to the United States, the Form I-212 was properly denied by the Field Office Director.

Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361, provides that the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he is eligible for the benefit sought. After a careful review of the record, it is concluded that the

applicant has failed to establish that a favorable exercise of the Secretary's discretion is warranted. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.