

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date: JUL 08 2005

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Travel Document Pursuant to Section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1203.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Acting Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico, who seeks to obtain a travel document (reentry permit) under section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1203. The Acting Director concluded that the applicant did not hold valid lawful permanent or conditional resident status at the time the application was filed and denied the application accordingly. *See Acting Director's Decision* dated March 3 2005.

The applicant completed Part 2, box a, on his Application for Travel Document (Form I-131) that states:

I am a permanent resident or conditional resident of the United States and I am applying for a Reentry Permit.

In pertinent part, section 223 of the Act provides that an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence who intends to travel abroad and return to the United States to resume that status may make an application for a permit to reenter the United States.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 223.2 states in pertinent part:

(b) Eligibility.

(1) Reentry permit. Except as otherwise provided in this section, an application may be approved if filed by a person who is in the United States at the time of application and is a lawful permanent resident or conditional permanent resident.

On appeal the applicant states that his application was submitted on August 18, 2003, his card was valid from March 1, 2003, to March 1, 2005, and his new card is valid from March 1, 2005 to March 1, 2007. In addition he states that he would like to visit Mexico since it has been 6-7 years since he last saw his relatives.

The cards the applicant is referring to are Employment Authorization Cards (EAD). Both EAD's reflect a category code of A13, as an alien who was been granted voluntary departure by the Attorney General under the Family Unity Program established by section 301 of the Immigration Act of 1990, and therefore the applicant is not a lawful or conditional permanent resident of the United States. Absent such evidence, the application may not be approved.

Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361, provides that the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that the applicant is eligible for the benefit sought. Here, the applicant has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed

The AAO notes that the applicant may be eligible for advance parole. Therefore the decision is without prejudice to the filing of a new Form I-131 for advance parole if the applicant completes the appropriate box on the application.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.