

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



FI

Date: MAY 02 2011

Office:



FILE:



IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Travel Document Pursuant to Section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1203.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, [REDACTED]. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of the [REDACTED] who seeks to obtain a travel document (reentry permit) under section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1203. The director denied the application because the applicant did not provide a U.S. address and comply with the requirement of attending an appointment for biometrics capture at an Application Support Center (ASC) in the United States.

On appeal, the applicant states, in part, that she is unable to attend an appointment for biometrics capture in the United States because she is currently living in [REDACTED] and caring for her elderly mother. As supporting documentation, the applicant submits a completed fingerprint chart and copies of her identification documents.

The applicant's statement and additional evidence are noted. She, however, does not provide a reason for the appeal on the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, a statement or brief which alleges any error of law or fact on the part of the director, or any other discussion regarding how the evidence submitted on appeal addresses the director's reason for denying the application. As discussed above, the applicant is required to attend an appointment for biometrics capture at an ASC in the United States.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Inasmuch as the applicant has failed to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the regulations mandate the summary dismissal of the appeal.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.