

IR

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE

425 Eye Street, N.W.
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
Washington, D. C. 20536

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

[Redacted]

File: LIN 02 166 55520

Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date:

JUL 03 2003

IN RE: Applicant:

[Redacted]

Application:

Application for Travel Document Pursuant to Section 223 of
the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1203

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant, a native of Mexico, seeks to obtain a travel document (reentry permit) under section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1203. The director denied the application, finding that the applicant had failed to establish his eligibility for the requested document.

On Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Unit (AAU), the applicant indicates that a separate brief and/or evidence will be submitted within 30 days of filing the appeal. However, no brief and/or evidence has been received in more than four months since the appeal was filed. Furthermore, the applicant failed to state on the form any reason(s) for filing the appeal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(a)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Inasmuch as the applicant has failed to identify any erroneous conclusion or law or statement of fact as the basis for the appeal, the regulation mandates that it be summarily dismissed.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The applicant has not met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.